Food Stamp Program Entry and Exit: Participation Trends in the 1990s

2005 Food Assistance Research Conference USDA, ERS

February 16, 2005

Scott Cody Phil Gleason Bruce Schechter Miki Satake Julie Sykes

Food Stamp Caseload, 1982 - 2004



Food Stamp Caseload, 1982 - 2004



Research on Caseload Dynamics

Burstein (1993)

- SIPP, covers 1983-86
- Median spell = 6 months
- 80% of spells end within 2 years.

Gleason, Schochet, & Moffitt (1998)

- SIPP, covers 1990-93
- Median = 9 months, 71% end within 2 years
- Caseload increase due to lower exit rate
- Wilde (2001)
 - FSPQC, covers 1990-97



Study Objectives

Update FSP caseload dynamics through 90s

- Entry and exit rates
- Spell lengths
- Subgroups

Explain shift from rising to declining caseload

• Driven by declining entry rate or rising exit rate?

• Explore trends using multiple data sources



• SIPP, 1990-93 & 1996 panels

- Covers 1990-99
- Total sample of more than 300,000

• 1990-2002 FSPQC

- Repeated monthly cross-sections
- Sample size = 3,600 5,600 per month



Entry & Exit Rates: Definitions



FSP Entry & Exit in the 1990s

- Both were 4% to 7% throughout period
- As caseload fell:
 - Entry rates declined
 - Exit rates increased
- Entry/exit rates higher according to FSPQC



Entry & Exit Rates, 1990-2002 (FSPQC)

Period	Entry Rate	Exit Rate
1990-93 (growth)	7.5	6.7
1993-96 (decline)	6.8	7.2
1996-99 (decline)	6.7	7.6
1999-02 (growth)	6.8	6.4

Entry & Exit Rates, 1990-1999 (SIPP)

Period	Entry Rate	Exit Rate
1990-93 (growth)	5.3	3.9
1993-96 (decline)	4.2	4.2
1996-99 (decline)	3.8	5.1

Entry & Exit Rates, 1990-1999 (SIPP)



Why Did Caseload Decline?

- Declining entry & rising exit rates both play role
- Early to mid-1990s
 Declining entry rates explain 56-77%
- Mid- to late 1990s
 Rising exit rates explain 70-72%

 Declining exit rates explain most of 1999-2002 caseload growth

Caseload Dynamics in Late 1990s

Among those starting spells (1996-99)

- Median spell = 8 months
- 69% end within 1 year
- 86% end within 2 years
- Among those on FS in March 1996
 - Median spell = 4.5 years
 - 16% end within 1 year
 - One-third last more than 8 years



Change in Entry Cohort Spell Lengths: 1983-1999 (SIPP)

	Burstein	Gleason et al.	Cody et al.
	1983-86	1990-93	1996-99
Average monthly caseload (millions)	20,200	25,600	20,700
Median spell (months)	6	9	8
% no longer than 6 months	51%	42%	46%
% longer than 2 years	20%	29%	14%

Change in Cross-Sectional Cohort Spell Lengths: 1991-1996 (SIPP)

	Gleason et al. Feb 1991	Cody et al. March 1996
Monthly caseload (millions)	23,139	26,093
Median spell (years)	8	4.5
% no more than 1 year	11%	16%
% longer than 5 years	62%	47%

How Did Spells Change Between Early and Late 1990s?

 Typical FSP entrant has only slightly shorter spell

Fewer very long spells

 Average FSP recipient (at point in time) has shorter spell

FSP Dynamics Among Subgroups

Median Spell Lengths

Subgroup	1990-93 FSP Entrants	1996-99 FSP Entrants
Elderly	20	12
Single Mothers	13	8
Noncitizens	12	8
ABAWDs	4	4
All FSP Entrants	8	8

FSP Dynamics Among Subgroups

Elderly have longest spells; ABAWDs shortest

• Spells of single mothers & noncitizens:

- Longer than average in early 1990s
- Average in late 1990s

• ABAWDs' spell lengths constant through 1990s



Notes on Methods

- Most—but not all—results similar in FSPQC and SIPP
- Key limitation of FSPQC:
 - Not truly longitudinal; so admin churning, household changes may look like FSP turnover
- Key limitations of SIPP
 - Underreports FSP receipt
 - Differences between 1996 panel and earlier panels



FSP Caseload Dynamics: Main Findings

- Both entry & exit played role in FSP caseload decline of 1990s
- Most entrants have short spells; most FSP recipients are in midst of long spells
- Higher exit rates in late 1990s mainly affected long spells
- Single mothers became less likely to be long-term FSP recipients